

# TRUST POLICY - DEATH REVIEWS

This document may be made available to the public and persons outside of the Trust as part of the Trust's compliance with the Freedom of Information Act 2000

Please be aware that only documents downloaded or viewed directly from the GHNHST Trust Policies webpage are valid documents. Documents obtained through printed copies or internet searches may be out of date and therefore will be invalid

## FOR USE BY:

This Policy is to be followed by all staff of Gloucestershire Hospitals NHS Trust

## **FAST FIND:**

Action Cards MR1, MR2 and MR3

## 1. INTRODUCTION / RATIONALE

This policy describes the approach at GHNHSFT to the review of patients dying in the Trust and within 30 days of discharge. This policy will bring the Trust in line with the national guidance contained within the document 'National Guidance on Learning from Deaths'.

## 2. **DEFINITIONS**

Death within the Trust includes all those from admission (including ED). Where relating to Summary Hospital Mortality Index, deaths attributable to the Trust include those up to 30 days post discharge. In addition those deaths of patients who continue in an episode of care started within the Hospitals Trust but after transfer to another provider (other acute trusts, community hospitals) or to normal place of residence/community/their home, will contribute to the Trust's overall mortality figures. Adult deaths are reviewed and monitored according to the process described in this policy. Gloucestershire Hospitals is also fully co-operative with the other mandatory death reviews (including Maternal and Neonatal deaths, Stillbirths, Child Deaths and LeDeR processes)

Word/Term	Descriptor
Hospital Standardised Mortality Ratios (HMSR) (include super spells)	The HSMR is a method of comparing mortality levels in different years or for different sub-populations in the same year, while taking account of differences in case mix. The ratio is of observed to expected deaths (multiplied conventionally by 100). Thus if mortality levels are higher in the population being studied than would be expected, the HSMR will be greater than 100. The HSMR is a calculation used to monitor death rates in a trust. The HSMR is based on a subset of diagnoses which give rise to around 80% of in-hospital deaths. HSMRs are based on the routinely collected administrative data often known as Hospital Episode Statistics (HES), Secondary Uses Service Data (SUS) or Commissioning Datasets (CDS).  For all of the 56 diagnosis groups, the observed deaths are the numbers that have occurred following admission in each NHS Trust during the specified time period.  The expected number of deaths in each analysis is the sum of the estimated risks of death for every patient.
Standardized Mortality Ratio (SMR)	This is a ratio between the observed number of deaths in a study population and the number of deaths that would be expected, based on the age- and sex- specific rates in a standard population and the age and sex distribution of the study population.
Summary Hospital-level Mortality Indictor (SHMI)	This is the ratio between the actual number of patients who die following hospitalisation at the Trust and the number that would be expected to die on the basis of average England figures, given the characteristics of the patients treated there.

A2217 - DEATH REVIEWS POLICY V2 ISSUE DATE: NOVEMBER 2018 PAGE 1 OF 6 REVIEW DATE: NOVEMBER 2021

It covers all deaths reported of patients who were admitted to non-specialist acute trusts in England who either die while in hospital or within 30 days of discharge home.
The expected number of deaths is calculated from statistical models derived to estimate the risk of mortality based on the characteristics of the patients (including the condition the patient is in hospital for, other underlying conditions the patient suffers from, age, gender and method of admission to hospital.
A three-year dataset is used to create the statistical models. A one-year dataset is used to calculate the SHMI and accompanying contextual indicators for each trust.

## 3. POLICY STATEMENT

This Policy is to achieve compliance with national guidance on learning from deaths applicable to all staff engaged in such reviews.

# 4. ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Post/Group	Details		
All Staff	Raise any care concerns relating to a patient death with line manager and by completion of a Datix report		
Managers	Review report received and escalate in accordance with Duty of Candour where appropriate, otherwise flag for Mortality Review by contacting Bereavement Office		
Hospital Mortality Group	The Hospital Mortality Group is responsible for the following main functions:		
	<ol> <li>To review and monitor Trust data on mortality monthly and report to the Quality and Performance committee.</li> <li>To ensure that there are governance processes in place with the Divisions to regularly monitor and review deaths within the Trust and to ensure that unexpected deaths are adequately audited and lessons learnt reported to the Quality and Performance committee.</li> <li>To oversee the management and investigation of mortality alerts.</li> <li>To distribute within the Trust any lessons learnt from mortality audits and investigations.</li> <li>To oversee the contract with Dr Foster for the Intelligence Mortality Comparator and Quality Investigator.</li> <li>To oversee linkages to End of Life Care programmes.</li> <li>To ensure that other information on mortality is collated and reported as</li> </ol>		
Speciality M&M lead	<ul> <li>appropriate.</li> <li>To lead the process at a speciality level in line with this policy.</li> <li>To ensure speciality processes are in line with the national mortality review process</li> <li>To take responsibility for ensuring regular mortality meetings take place</li> <li>To ensure data from these reviews is captured and communicated within the speciality</li> <li>To ensure that actions from the mortality reviews are completed in line with their specified timescales</li> <li>To ensure learning is recorded on the Trust database (datix system)so that it can be reviewed at the HMG and transferable learning captured</li> </ul>		
Speciality Governance Group	To receive and report the outcome(s) from mortality reviews to the Divisional Governance group		
Divisional Governance Group	<ul> <li>To receive and track actions and learning from speciality mortality groups</li> <li>To report these outcomes to the Trust Quality and Performance group on a quarterly basis</li> </ul>		
Quality and Performance Committee	<ul> <li>To receive an overarching report of mortality outcomes</li> <li>To oversee the reports from divisions on mortality outcomes</li> <li>To oversee the learning from mortality reviews and receive assurance that speciality and general learning points are embedded</li> </ul>		
Trust Board	<ul> <li>To receive assurance that mortality review process, in line with national guidance, is in place</li> <li>To receive in the open session of the Board a summary of the learning from mortality reviews</li> </ul>		

# 5. FAMILY AND CARER INVOLVEMENT

The Trust will engage meaningfully and compassionately with bereaved families and carers in relation to all stages of responding to a death and operate according to the following key principles which are

A2217 - DEATH REVIEWS POLICY V2 PAGE 2 OF 6
ISSUE DATE: NOVEMBER 2018 REVIEW DATE: NOVEMBER 2021

taken from the Guidance for NHS trusts on working with bereaved families and carers published in July 2018. <sup>(3)</sup>

- Bereaved families and carers will be treated as equal partners following a bereavement
- Bereaved families and carers will always receive a clear, honest, compassionate and sensitive response in a sympathetic environment
- Bereaved families and carers will receive a high standard of bereavement care which respects confidentiality, values, culture and beliefs, including being offered appropriate support. This includes providing, offering or directing people to specialist bereavement support.
- Bereaved families and carers will be asked for any feedback or concerns on the quality of care provided to their loved one; bereaved families' and carers' views will help to inform decisions about further investigation. In such instances:
  - they should be partners in an investigation to the extent, and at whichever stage, that they wish to be involved
  - they will receive timely, responsive contact and support in all aspects of an investigation process, with a single point of contact and liaison
- Bereaved families and carers who have experienced the investigation process should be supported to work in partnership with the Trust in delivering training for staff in supporting family and carer involvement where they want to
- The Bereavement Services team will be responsible for documenting and forwarding family's concerns to the appropriate Investigation lead. All staff are responsible for notifying concerns associated with the death of a patient via Datix.

#### 6. **MORTALITY REVIEW PROCESS**

6.1 The mortality review process will be undertaken at a speciality level using the Structured Judgement Review (SJR) methodology. This is in the form of a care review rather than a mortality review. Any such care concerns should be recorded and acted upon whether or not they are thought to have caused the patient's death.

Any Mortality Review resulting from a Care Concern (either from Family, Carers or Clinical Staff) should be completed within 14 days after clinical notes become available. Reviews as a result of other national or local triggers should be completed within 3 months of death.

The expectation is that each speciality will have a monthly M&M discussion although the number of deaths within a speciality may determine the frequency of dedicated M&M meetings. The process will be determined by the speciality but must comply with the national mortality review guidelines (2)

- 6.2 Mortality reviews triggered by a Dr Foster alert (CUSUM and Relative Risk outliers) will be assessed at a Trust level initially and then, where appropriate, by the relevant speciality(s).
- 6.3 The speciality M&M lead is responsible for accessing information on their inpatient deaths and will be responsible for ensuring that those cases that fall within the trigger list are reviewed. The Bereavement office will email, via Datix, details of the trigger cases to the M&M lead. The business intelligence unit will identify by speciality the deaths within the Trust on a rolling monthly basis. Specialities may choose to identify groups of patients for mortality review on an ad hoc basis. These may include specialty triggers such as 30 days post-procedure or all patients with a specific intervention or condition. Specialty trigger cases will remain the responsibility of that specialty to identify and review.
- 6.4 The meetings will be multidisciplinary and either review all deaths (paediatrics, obstetrics, deaths following elective procedures) or, where the number of deaths would preclude practical undertaking of this comprehensive review, the cases as defined from the trigger list (Action Card MR1) plus a sample of other cases to be determined within the speciality.

PAGE 3 OF 6 ISSUE DATE: NOVEMBER 2018 **REVIEW DATE: NOVEMBER 2021** 

- 6.5 Conclusions from the mortality reviews contained within the RCP Structured Judgement Review process will be agreed by the M&M group and recorded. It should be noted that any care concerns should be addressed rather than those which directly relate to death. Where appropriate these should be converted into a series of actions aimed at learning from these mortality reviews and designed to improve patient care. These actions must have an identified lead, appropriate timescales and measureable outcomes.
- 6.6 The group will also consider if any of the reviews meet the threshold for triggering an incident report and the Duty of Candour process.
- 6.7 The actions will be reviewed and monitored at the Speciality M&M meeting to ensure closure within an appropriate timescale or understand what is preventing progress of actions and identify clear responsibility for making progress.
- **6.8** The output from these meetings will be recorded on the Trust M&M Meeting template and forwarded to the Mortality Co-ordinator.
- **6.9** Learning from each review must be recorded and general learning themes should be included in this database, which will be reviewed at Divisional level and Trust level.
- **6.10** There should be a clearly defined communication cascade within the speciality for disseminating the learning from this review throughout the speciality. The Emergency Department has an example of good practice in this respect.
- **6.11** Mortality reviews initiated as a result of an SI will be processed by RCA and shared with the speciality. On completion the findings will be recorded in the Trust database (Datix)
- 6.12 Support for bereaved families and carers is provided at and around time of death. The Bereavement Officers give opportunity to raise questions and concerns in relation to quality of care received by their loved one. They also signpost families who wish to engage with PALS or raise a formal complaint. Families should be engaged with mortality reviews where appropriate. This involvement must follow the guidance contained in National Guidance on Learning from Deaths (2) and Learning from deaths Guidance for NHS trusts on working with bereaved families and carers (3).
- 6.13 Please see the Death Review Flowchart

## 7. OUTCOMES

- **7.1** The outcomes from mortality reviews will be recorded by the speciality as defined above. These will be reviewed through the content of the speciality database at the divisional board on a monthly (or suitable) interval.
- 7.2 The Divisional Board will assure the process is in place by speciality, that the appropriate cases are being reviewed and that timely completion of the action plans are in place. The Divisional Board will also ensure that when generalizable learning for the division is identified, this is communicated and actioned as appropriate.

## 8. TRAINING

**8.1** All those involved in mortality reviews will undertake the Trust training on Structured Judgement Reviews. This is in line with national recommendations and will be in the form of computerassisted learning using the Mortality Toolkit developed jointly by the Royal College of Physicians and the AHSN Network <sup>(4)</sup>

## 9. MONITORING OF COMPLIANCE

Do the systems or processes in this document have to be monitored in line with national,	YES
regional or Trust requirements?	

Monitoring requirements and methodology	Frequency	Further actions
<ul> <li>Divisional Board to provide summary of reviews and learning</li> <li>Division to assure itself that Duty of Candour Policy is being followed, where appropriate</li> <li>Quality and Performance Committee will review these outcomes on a monthly basis and develop appropriate reporting to the Trust main board. It will assure itself that all appropriate processes are in place.</li> </ul>	Quarterly	Report to Quality & Performance Committee

## 10. REFERENCES

Although we make every effort to ensure these links are accurate, up to date and relevant, Gloucester Hospitals NHS Trust cannot take responsibility for pages maintained by external providers.

Learning, candour and accountability <a href="https://www.cqc.org.uk/sites/default/files/20161213-learning-candour-accountability-full-report.pdf">https://www.cqc.org.uk/sites/default/files/20161213-learning-candour-accountability-full-report.pdf</a>

National Guidance on Learning from Deaths <a href="https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2017/03/nqb-national-guidance-learning-from-deaths.pdf">https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2017/03/nqb-national-guidance-learning-from-deaths.pdf</a>

Learning from deaths Guidance for NHS trusts on working with bereaved families and carers. Working with Families and Carers

Mortality Toolkit. RCP Toolkit

A2217 - DEATH REVIEWS POLICY V2 ISSUE DATE: NOVEMBER 2018 PAGE 5 OF 6 REVIEW DATE: NOVEMBER 2021

# **DEATH REVIEWS POLICY**

DOCUMENT PROFILE			
REFERENCE NUMBER	A2217		
CATEGORY	Clinical		
VERSION	V2		
DIVISION	Owning Division - Corporate		
SPECIALTY	Specialty – Safety / Medical Director		
FOR USE BY	GHNHST STAFF ONLY		
QUALITY ASSURANCE GROUP	Hospital Mortality Group		
AUTHOR	Dr Sean Elyan, Medical Director		
ISSUE DATE	November 2018		
REVIEW DATE	November 2021		
OTHER APPROVING GROUPS	N/A		
APPROVAL AND RATIFICATION	Policy Approval: Hospital Mortality Group 31st August 2018		
DETAILS / DATES	confirmed by Chair of meeting 31/10/18		
	TPAG Ratification: 1 <sup>st</sup> November 2018		
EQUALITY IMPACT	A2217 RD2		
ASSESSMENT			
CONSULTEES	Medical Examiners, Bereavement Services, Director of Safety		
DISSEMINATION DETAILS	Upload to Policy Site; Policy Monthly Update; Divisional		
	Newsletters, Training, Specialty & Divisional Governance		
KENANODDO	Meetings		
KEYWORDS	Mortality, Death, Structured Judgement Review, M&M, Mortality		
RELATED TRUST DOCUMENTS	review, Death review, Bereavement		
OTHER RELEVANT DOCUMENTS	Action Cards MR1, MR2 and MR3		
OTHER RELEVANT DOCUMENTS	Care of the Dying and Deceased Action card A0030 CDD1 - Reportable Deaths		
	Safeguarding Children Policy SCH7 Child death review		
	procedure		
EXTERNAL COMPLIANCE	National Guidance on Learning from Deaths:		
STANDARDS AND/OR	https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-		
LEGISLATION	content/uploads/2017/03/nqb-national-guidance-learning-		
	from-deaths.pdf		

A2217 - DEATH REVIEWS POLICY V2 ISSUE DATE: NOVEMBER 2018